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West Virginia Energy
West Virginia ranked fifth among the states in total energy production in 2011, producing 4.9% of the nation's total (TX, WY, PA, LA, WV).

In 2012, West Virginia was the largest coal producer east of the Mississippi River and the second largest in the nation after Wyoming; the state accounted for 12% of the U.S. total coal production that year.

In 2012, 45% (54 million short tons) of the coal that was mined in West Virginia was shipped to other states, and 40% (47 million short tons) was exported to foreign countries.

Coal-fired electric power plants accounted for 95% of West Virginia's net electricity generation in 2013, and renewable energy resources—primarily hydroelectric power and wind energy—contributed 4.1%.

West Virginia typically generates more electricity than it consumes; in 2010, 56% of its net electricity generation was consumed outside the state.
US Energy Consumption By Sector

Transportation 28.1%
(27.5 QBtu)

Industry 23.2%
(22.7 QBtu)

Buildings 48.7%
(47.8 QBtu)

U.S. Energy Consumption by Sector

Source: ©2011 2030, Inc. / Architecture 2030. All Rights Reserved.
WV Energy Consumption by Sector

West Virginia Consumption by End-Use Sector, 2011 (Percent)

Transportation 23%
Residential 23%
Industrial 38%
Commercial 16%

Source: Energy Information Administration
Typical Building Energy Breakdown

- Lighting: 25.5%
- Space Heating: 14.2%
- Space Cooling: 13.1%
- Water Heating: 6.8%
- Ventilation: 6.0%
- Electronics: 6.3%
- Refrigeration: 4.1%
- Computers: 3.2%
- Cooking: 2.0%
- Other: 13.2%
- Unaccounted: 5.5%
WV Energy Consumption

WV Energy Consumption per Capita by End-Use Sector 2012

- Residential: WV ranked 2\textsuperscript{nd} with 85.5 Million BTU
- Commercial: WV ranked 22\textsuperscript{nd} with 59.3 Million BTU
- Industrial: WV ranked 13\textsuperscript{th} with 148.3 Million BTU
- Transportation: WV ranked 16\textsuperscript{th} with 96.1 Million BTU
- Total: WV ranked 15\textsuperscript{th} with 389.2 Million BTU

US Population by State and Energy Consumption Ranking 2012 (per Capita)

- California is #1 in population and #49 in total consumption
- Texas is #2 in population and #6 in total consumption
- New York is #3 in population and #50 in total consumption
- Florida #4 in population and #44 in total consumption
- Illinois #5 in population and #26 in total consumption
- West Virginia is #38 in population and #15 in total consumption

Average Price of Electric Energy (per kWh)

- **West Virginia – 2013 (2012)**
  - Residential = $0.0952 ($0.0985)
  - Commercial = $0.0816 ($0.0842)
  - Industrial = $0.0620 ($0.0633)
  - Transportation = $0.0868 ($0.0866)
  - All Sectors = $0.0791 ($0.0814)

- **National Average – 2013 (2012)**
  - Residential = $0.1212 ($0.1188)
  - Commercial = $0.1029 ($0.1009)
  - Industrial = $0.0682 ($0.0667)
  - Transportation = $0.1028 ($0.1021)
  - All Sectors = $0.1008 ($0.0984)

- **Notes:**
  - WV average electricity prices dropped 2.9% from 2012 to 2013
  - US average electricity prices increased 2.4% from 2012 to 2013
  - WV average electricity prices are ≈ 27% lower than US average

Source: Electric Power Monthly (02/2014) – US EIA
Current WV Energy Codes

- Commercial = ASHRAE Standard 90.1 – 2007
  - Adoption Date = 07/18/2012
  - Effective Date = 09/01/2013
  - Approved Compliance Tool = COMcheck
- Residential = IECC – 2009
  - International Energy Conservation Code
  - Adoption Date = 07/18/2012
  - Effective Date = 11/30/2013
  - Approved Compliance Tool = REScheck

Commercial and Residential Building Codes are Mandatory Statewide; However Adoption by Jurisdictions is Voluntary.

Source: US DOE and ACEEE
Code Enforcement and Compliance

- **State Fire Marshal:**
  - Will not review plans for ASHRAE 90.1 or IECC 2009 compliance
  - Submit COMcheck / REScheck form to include with building record file

- **Contractors, Builders, and Architects:**
  - Currently Responsible for Compliance, Enforcement and **Liability**
  - WV State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers (WVSBRPE) – Authorized Company (COA) – Create list of pledged companies

- **West Virginia Code Officials Association (WVCOA):**
  - Only for Jurisdictions that have adopted the state codes

- **State of West Virginia:**
  - Priority - Create a Department for State Plan Review
  - Fund and Train WVCOA, Review Plans, Enforce Codes, Inspect Buildings, Impose Fines and Penalize Non-Compliance
Current WV Codes Adopted by the State Fire Commission (AHJ)

- 2012 International Building Code
- 2009 International Energy Conservation Code
- 2012 International Existing Building Code
- 2012 International Fuel Gas Code
- 2012 International Mechanical Code
- 2012 International Plumbing Code
- 2012 International Property Maintenance Code
- 2009 International Residential Code

Source: International Code Council
State Energy Code Adoptions

[Map of the United States showing energy code adoptions by state as of May 2015.]
Current US Energy Efficiency Ranking


1. Germany - 66
2. Italy
3. the European Union (tied for 4)
4. China (tied for 4)
5. France (tied for 4)
6. Japan (tied for 6)
7. United Kingdom (tied for 6)
8. Spain
9. Canada
10. Australia
11. India
12. South Korea
13. **United States - 42**
14. Russia
15. Brazil
16. Mexico

- 100 Possible Points
- 31 Metrics
- 4 Groups
  - Cross-cutting aspects of energy use at the national level
  - 3 primary energy consumption sectors
    - Buildings
    - Industry
    - Transportation

We are wasting money and energy that other countries are using to reinvest!

Source: [www.aceee.org/research-report/e1402](http://www.aceee.org/research-report/e1402) - ACEEE
Ultimate Energy Efficiency Goal

Max-Tech
- Maximum technical efficiency achievable by equipment and systems
- Does not include renewable or on-site power production.

Net-Zero
- Zero Net energy consumption
- Requires Renewable Energy
- Building is still connected to grid
Power Generation
Mitchell Power Plant – Moundsville, WV
Electrical Power Generation

- Thermodynamic Rankine Cycle of Water:

  “Necessary Thermodynamic Losses of a Heat Engine Producing Electrical Power in a Rankine Cycle”
Conventional Power Generation

- Power station, generating station, power plant, powerhouse or generating plants all involve the conversion of thermal energy (fuel) into mechanical energy (prime mover) into electrical energy (generator).
- Classified by fuel:
  - Fossil Fuel – coal and natural gas.
  - Nuclear
- Classified by Prime Mover:
  - Steam turbine
  - Gas turbine
- 2nd Law of Thermodynamics – Waste heat must be rejected and is ≥ to electrical energy produced
  - Typically rejected to atmosphere (cooling tower) or body of water (lake or river).
“Waste Not, Want Not”

More than two-thirds of the fuel used to generate power in the U.S. is lost as heat.

Source: Oak Ridge National Laboratory
History of Power Production

- Initially Power Plants were located near populations that required the electricity – fuel was transported to plant.
- Since the 1870’s power plants were designed to reject waste heat to consumers (combined heat and power or district heating).
- As Efficiency of Scale and High-Voltage AC power distribution technology evolved, it became more cost-effective to produce power near the fuel source and “pump” the electricity to the consumer.
- Some large-scale power generation stations still operate as CHP using District Heating steam distribution:
  - Consolidated Edison of New York operates NY steam system (largest).
  - Denver, Seattle, Minneapolis, Omaha, Pittsburgh, San Diego, Seattle, Detroit, Milwaukee, Chicago and so on...
  - Many College Campuses
Figure 2 – District energy systems operated by IDEA members are in 38 of the United States. US Department of Energy (Census 1992) estimates that there are over 2500 district energy systems operating in United States.
CCGT Power Generation

- Combined Cycle Gas Turbine
  - Assembly of heat engines that work in tandem from the same source of heat
- Fuel Sources
  - Natural Gas
  - Synthesis Gas (coal)
- CCGT – Brayton Cycle
- Steam – Rankine Cycle
- 54% Efficiencies
- Newer Plant Design
John E. Amos Power Plant – Winfield, WV
On-Site Power Generation

- **Prime Movers:**
  - Internal Combustion (IC) Engines
    - Natural Gas
    - Diesel
    - Gasoline
    - Bio-Diesel
  - Combustion Turbine Generators (CTG) – Microturbines
    - Natural Gas
    - Biogas – landfill gas, gases produced from municipal and agricultural waste.
  - Fuel Cells
    - Hydrogen (most abundant element in the universe)
    - Natural Gas – Steam Reformation

- **Steam Boiler:**
  - Natural Gas, Coal
  - Biofuels – solid and gaseous

- On-Site power production creates facility electrical system resiliency and redundancy.
- Much higher efficiencies available:
  - Eliminate transmission losses
  - **Combined Heat and Power**
Conventional vs. CHP

Note: This figure shows an example where cogeneration uses only 100 units of fuel to produce an amount of electricity and useful heat that would require 154 units of fuel via separate heat and power production.
Conventional vs. CHP

Source: Sustainable On-Site CHP Systems, Meckler and Hyman
CHP Benefits

- **Efficiency Benefits**
  CHP captures heat that is normally wasted and therefore requires less fuel to produce a given energy output, and avoids transmission and distribution losses that occur when electricity travels over power lines.

- **Reliability Benefits**
  CHP can be designed to provide high-quality electricity and thermal energy to a site regardless of what might occur on the power grid, decreasing the impact of outages and improving power quality for sensitive equipment.

- **Environmental Benefits**
  Because less fuel is burned to produce each unit of energy output, CHP reduces air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions.

- **Economic Benefits**
  CHP can save facilities considerable money on their energy bills due to its high efficiency and can provide a hedge against unstable energy costs.

- **Energy Security Benefits**
  By reducing our national energy requirements and help businesses weather energy price volatility and supply disruptions. Diversify our energy supply by enabling further integration of domestically produced and renewable fuels.
CHP Sites

- **Industrial manufacturers** - chemical, refining, ethanol, pulp and paper, food processing, glass manufacturing
- **Institutions** - colleges and universities, hospitals, prisons, military bases
- **Commercial buildings** - hotels and casinos, airports, high-tech campuses, large office buildings, nursing homes
- **Municipal** - district energy systems, wastewater treatment facilities, K-12 schools
- **Residential** - multi-family housing, planned communities
CHP Thermal Uses

- Additional Power (combined cycle)
- Space Heating
- Space Cooling
- Domestic Hot Water
- Swimming Pool Heat
- Desiccant Dehumidification
- Product Drying
- Process Heat
Major CHP Components

- Prime Movers – IC, CTG
- Heat Recovery Systems – HRSG, HEX
- Thermal Chillers – Absorption, exhaust fired
- Steam Turbines
- Desiccant Dryers – removes absorbed moisture
- Emission Control and Monitoring Systems
- Gas Compressors
- Electric Gear – rectifiers, invertors, transformers
Prime Movers

Internal Combustion Generator

Combustion Turbine Generator
Gas Turbine or Engine With Heat Recovery Unit
Trigeneration or CCHP

2\textsuperscript{nd} Law of Thermodynamics
“Waste Heat Must Be Rejected”

CHP can reach up to 85\% efficiency
Water Cooled Absorption Chiller

Lithium Bromide and Water Vapor

http://www.gasairconditioning.org/absorption_how_it_works.htm
CHP Facility Schematic

Source: Srinivas Katipamula, Ph.D, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
Heat Recovery Steam Generator (HRSG)
FIGURE 3 CONVENTIONAL PLANT SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM
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IC Jacket Water Heat Recovery (30%)

Jacket Water is typically 200°F
IC Exhaust Heat Recovery (30%)

- Engine exhaust is typically at 1200° F
- Use the IC exhaust heat directly to:
  - Fire an absorption chiller (gas fired chiller)
  - Drive a solid or liquid dessicant system
  - Heat air in an exhaust-to-air heat exchanger
  - Produce steam/hot water in an exhaust gas heat exchanger
Microturbines

(a) block-diagram
(b) structural cross-section
Steam Boiler With Steam Turbine

Fossil Fuel, Solid Fuel, Biomass....
Electrical Gear and Utilities

- Rectifier – AC to DC
- Inverter – DC to AC
- Transformer – Change in voltage using electromagnetic induction.
Inverter Quality

- Used for converting DC to AC
- Utility companies have strict guidelines and regulations for all power generation connected to the grid.
- Non-standard AC power signals perturb or compromise the grid
  - PV, wind, DC generators
- As power generation transforms from large-scale centralized power stations to locally produced and distributed power, major communication and technology upgrades are required for the grid.
  - Quality of power
  - Grid shut-downs
Existing Cogeneration Capacity by Application:

- Primary Metals: 5%
- Commercial/Institutional: 12%
- Paper: 14%
- Petroleum Refining: 17%
- Chemicals: 20%
- Other Manufacturing: 8%
- Other Industrial: 6%
- Food: 8%

Existing Cogeneration Sites by System Type:

- Reciprocating Engine: 42%
- Combined Cycle: 7%
- Boiler/Steam Turbine: 23%
- Other: 16%
- Combustion Turbine: 12%

Existing Cogeneration Capacity by System Type:

- Combined Cycle: 53%
- Reciprocating Engine: 13%
- Boiler/Steam Turbine: 32%
- Combustion Turbine: 13%

Existing Cogeneration Capacity by Fuel Type:

- Natural Gas: 73%
- Coal: 14%
- Waste: 8%
- Wood: 2%
- Biomass: 1%
- Other: 1%
- Oil: 1%

http://www.c2es.org/technology/factsheet/CogenerationCHP
Cogeneration Potential for 2020

McKinsey’s Estimates of Cost-Effective Cogeneration Potential for 2020 by Sector

http://www.c2es.org/technology/factsheet/CogenerationCHP
CHP Project Development

http://www.epa.gov/chp/project-development/index.html
Qualification: Is My Facility a Good Candidate for CHP?

- Do you pay more than $.07/kilowatt-hours on average for electricity (including generation, transmission, and distribution)?
- Are you concerned about the impact of current or future energy costs on your business?
- Is your facility located in a deregulated electricity market?
- Are you concerned about power reliability? Is there a substantial financial impact to your business if the power goes out for 1 hour? For 5 minutes?
- Does your facility operate for more than 5,000 hours/year?
- Do you have thermal loads throughout the year (including steam, hot water, chilled water, hot air, etc.)?
- Does your facility have an existing central plant?
- Do you expect to replace, upgrade, or retrofit central plant equipment within the next 3-5 years?
- Do you anticipate a facility expansion or new construction project within the next 3-5 years?
- Have you already implemented energy efficiency measures and still have high energy costs?
- Are you interested in reducing your facility’s impact on the environment?

http://www.epa.gov/chp/project-development/stage1.html
Level 1 Feasibility Analysis

- **Contact Data**: Contact information for the primary technical contact for the site.
- **Site Data**: Basic information on facility operations (hours/day, days/year) and site-specific considerations or constraints.
- **Electric Use Data**: Information on existing electric service to the facility, and data on consumption, peak and average demand, and monthly/seasonal use patterns.
- **Fuel Use Data**: Information on current fuel use for boilers and heaters including fuel type, costs, and use patterns.
- **Thermal Loads**: Information on existing thermal loads including type (steam, hot water, direct heat), conditions (temperature, pressure) and use patterns.
- **Existing Equipment**: Information on existing heating and cooling equipment including type, capacities, efficiencies and emissions.
- **Other Data**: Information on other site-specific issues such as expansion plans or neighborhood considerations that might impact CHP system design or operation.

[http://www.epa.gov/chp/project-development/index.html](http://www.epa.gov/chp/project-development/index.html)
Level 2 Feasibility Analysis

- Site load profiles
- System operational schedule
- Capital cost
- Heat recovery
- Mechanical system components
- System efficiency
- Sound levels
- Space considerations
- System vibration
- Emissions and permitting
- Utility interconnection
- System availability during utility outage
- Availability of incentives
- Maintenance costs
- Fuel costs
- Economic analysis including life-cycle analysis

The purposes of a Level 2 study are to:
- Replace the assumptions used in the Level 1 feasibility analysis with verified data to identify optimal CHP system configuration and sizing, appropriate thermal applications, and economic operating strategies.
- Estimate final CHP system pricing.
- Calculate return on investment.

The outcomes of a Level 2 study are:
- Pricing estimates for construction and operation and maintenance of the CHP system.
- Existing and projected utility rate analysis.
- Final project economics, including simple payback and life-cycle cost analysis of the investment.

The goals of a Level 2 study are to:
- Ensure that the recommended CHP system meets the operational and economic goals of the investor.
- Provide all the information needed to make a final investment decision.

http://www.epa.gov/chp/project-development/stage3.html
Procurement

- **Goal:** Build an operational CHP system according to specifications, on schedule and within budget.
- **Timeframe:** 3 to 30 months, depending on system size and complexity
- **Typical Costs:** $1,000 - $4,000/ kilowatt (kW) installed
- **Candidate site level of effort required:** Varies depending on procurement approach, similar to any construction project
- **Questions to answer:** Is the system fully commissioned and running as designed? Will operations and maintenance be performed by site staff or will it be outsourced? If in-house, have employees been trained to perform these functions? If outsourced, have service contracts been procured for equipment or system maintenance, equipment overhaul or replacement, system availability, or monitoring and control?

http://www.epa.gov/chp/project-development/stage4.html
Operation and Maintenance

- **Typical Costs:**
  - $0.005/kilowatt-hour (kWh) - $0.015/kWh for maintenance, depending on type of equipment and operations and maintenance (O&M) procurement approach
- **Maintenance Contract with Equipment Manufacturer**
- **Training Plant Operators:**
  - Required to know about steam systems, heat recovery and high voltage
  - Licensing available in several states and large cities
  - Utility companies have internal training programs
- **Written Guidelines and Procedures**
  - Several options should be available where the produced steam or hot water can be fully used or shifted when electric loads change.
- **Ongoing maintenance of individual CHP components is essential to maintaining plant operation.**

[http://www.epa.gov/chp/project-development/stage4.html](http://www.epa.gov/chp/project-development/stage4.html)
EPA Clean Power Plan 2014

- 30% reduction in GHG emissions by 2030
- Each state required to have a specific emissions reduction target plan
  - Plan must be presented to EPA by 2016
  - Plan must be implemented by 2020
- Rate Based = Lbs CO₂ per kWh
- Mass Based = Lbs CO₂
- Typical fuels: Lbs CO₂ per kWh
  - Bituminous Coal = 2.08
  - Sub-Bituminous Coal = 2.16
  - Lignite Coal = 2.18
  - Natural Gas = 1.22
  - Distillate Oil = 1.68
  - Residual Oil = 1.81
Conventional vs. CHP CO2 Emissions

http://www.n2ies.com/manufacturing-combined-heat-power.html
Emissions Equipment

- CTG
  - Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS)
  - Monitors flue gas and controls injection of ammonia (NG)
- IC
  - NOx and SO2 reduction catalysts
  - diesel particulate filters
- EPA US Clean Air Act established *new source performance standards* (NSPS) to control stationary engine emissions.
- Requirements vary by fuel source.
- Requirements vary by state and local jurisdictions.
The tool will work with a minimum of three pieces of information about the CHP system being evaluated:
1. Technology type (prime mover)
2. Size/capacity
3. Fuel type

http://www.epa.gov/chp/basic/calculator.html
## Emissions Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>10 MW CHP</th>
<th>10 MW Wind</th>
<th>10 MW Natural Gas Combined Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Capacity Factor</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Electricity</td>
<td>74,446 MWh</td>
<td>29,784 MWh</td>
<td>61,320 MWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Useful Heat</td>
<td>103,417 MWh</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footprint Required</td>
<td>6,000 sq ft</td>
<td>76,000 sq ft</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Cost</td>
<td>$20 million</td>
<td>$24.4 million</td>
<td>$9.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Power*</td>
<td>7.6¢/kWh</td>
<td>7.5¢/kWh</td>
<td>6.1¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Energy Savings</td>
<td>316,218 MMBtu</td>
<td>306,871 MMBtu</td>
<td>163,724 MMBtu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual CO₂ Savings</td>
<td>42,506 Tons</td>
<td>27,546 Tons</td>
<td>28,233 Tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual NOₓ Savings</td>
<td>87.8 Tons</td>
<td>36.4 Tons</td>
<td>61.9 Tons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Assumptions:**
- 10 MW Gas Turbine CHP-28% electric efficiency, 68% total efficiency, 15 PPM NOₓ; Electricity displaces National All Fossil Average Generation (eGRID 2010)-9,720 Btu/kWh, 1,745 lbs CO₂/MWh, 2.3078 lbs NOₓ/MWh, 6% T&D loss; Thermal displaces 80% efficient onsite natural gas boiler with 0.1 lb/MMBtu NOₓ emissions; NGCC NOₓ emissions = 9 ppm; DOE EIA Annual Energy Outlook 2011 assumptions for Capacity Factor, Capital cost, and O&M cost of 7 MW utility scale PV, 100 MW utility scale Wind (1.5 to 3 MW modules) and 540 MW NGCC; Capital charges based on: 7% interest, 30 year life for PV, Wind and NGCC, 9% interest, 20 year life for CHP; CHP and NGCC fuel price = $6.00/MMBtu.

*The cost of power for CHP is at the point of use; the cost of power for PV, wind and central station combined cycle is at the point of generation and would need to have transmission and distribution costs added to the totals in the table (2 to 4¢/kWh) to be comparable.
FUEL CELLS
The “Ideal” Prime Mover – Fuel Cell

- Electrolysis of Water
  - Positively charged ions (H₂ cations) move towards the electron-providing (negative) cathode. Negatively charged ions (O₂ anions) move towards the electron-extracting (positive) anode.

[Diagram of Fuel Cell Schematic]

[Diagram of Electrolysis Experiment]
Fuel Cells

- **Types:**
  - **Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM)**
    - polymer electrolyte membrane - precious metals
    - most common - vehicles
  - **Alkaline Fuel Cell (AFC)**
    - potassium hydroxide electrolyte solution
    - most efficient - sensitive to carbon dioxide
  - **Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell (MCFC)**
    - high temp salt mixture suspended in an inert ceramic matrix
    - non-precious metals for electrolyte cathode and anode
    - do NOT require external reformer; directly convert hydrocarbons (natural gas, biogas)
  - **Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC)**
    - solid ceramic electrolyte – high temperatures
    - non-precious metals for electrolyte cathode and anode
    - do NOT require external reformer, can handle sulfur (coal - synthesis gas)

- **Fuels**
  - Primary - Hydrogen
  - Secondary - Natural Gas, Biogas, Synthesis Gas (Steam Reformation)

- **Emissions**
  - Primary - H2O
  - Secondary - CO2, Sulfur
- Combined Heat and Power – utilize waste heat
The Fuel Cell

Fuel Cell Generator

Internal Components of a Fuel Cell Generator
Hydrogen Economy

- Japan and Iceland committed to a hydrogen economy.
- Iceland has an abundance of deep earth geothermal heat.
- Japan is more reliant on fossil fuels as they move away from nuclear power generation.
- Toyota to start mass-producing fuel cell vehicles.
  - Fuel cell vehicles can be used as emergency generators.
- Germany researching injection of hydrogen into natural gas pipelines.
- Japan is promoting residential fuel cells.
Steam Reformation

- $\text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{CO} + 3 \text{H}_2$ (Primary - Endothermic)
- $\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2$ (Secondary - Exothermic)
- Most common form of producing hydrogen from natural gas
- On-board or integral reformers allow fuel cells to be powered from natural gas (methane, propane, biogas)
- More cost-effective on larger scales.
- General Hydrogen Corporation in Proctor, WV
FUEL SOURCES
Natural Gas

Legend
- Interstate Pipelines
- Intrastate Pipelines

Source: Energy Information Administration, Office of Oil & Gas, Natural Gas Division, Gas Transportation Information System
Natural Gas

- Comprised primarily from methane (ethane, propane, butane, pentane, hexane)
- Colorless and almost odorless, an odorant is added to assist in detecting leaks (rotten egg).
- Sources:
  - Hydraulic fracturing for shale gas
  - Well drilling for NG deposits
  - TX, PA, WV, NM, Gulf of Mexico
  - State bans are occurring (NY)
  - WVU & OSU received $11 Million from DOE/NETL for a 5 year project to study “baseline measurements, subsurface development and environmental monitoring” in the Marcellus Shale. Money will be used for research and to establish the Marcellus Shale Energy and Environment Laboratory
- Dominion Corporation scheduled to build and operate a 550 mile interstate pipeline from WV to VA and NC.
  - Atlantic Coast Pipeline
  - Still requires federal regulator approval...
Biomass, Biofuel and Biogas Sources

- Agricultural Biomass
- Agave Fiber
- Bark
- Chipped Mill Waste
- Chicken Manure
- Construction Debris
- Hulls
- Hog Fuel
- King Grass
- Municipal Solid Waste
- Paper
- Planer Shavings
- Rice Husk
- Rubber
- Sander Dust
- Sawdust
- Shavings
- Sludge
- Sugar Cane Bagasse
- Manufacturing Waste
- Landfill Gas
Coal

- Coal gasification
  - Syngas or synthesis gas
  - Comprised mostly of Hydrogen, CO and CO₂

- Coal liquefaction
  - Indirect Coal Liquefaction (ICL) – syngas into light hydrocarbons
  - Direct Coal Liquefaction (DCL) - hydrogenation

- TransGas Development Systems is building a coal-to-liquids plant in Mingo County (gasoline, slag and flyash). Schedule to be operational in 2016

- Allows for Carbon Sequestration.
FUNDING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE
Funding Options

- Client Owned
  - Cash - Available grants and tax incentives
    - Advantages = speed, lower project risk, best life cycle cost
    - Disadvantages = uses capital, lower secondary/resell value
  - Loans
    - Advantages = preserve capital
    - Disadvantages = ongoing financial commitments, interest
- Lease
  - Advantages = preserves capital, tax deduction,
  - Disadvantages = you don’t own it, higher life cycle cost
- Performance Contract – Guaranteed Savings Project
- Investor Owned – Rental or Capacity Purchase
Economic Analysis

- The economic benefits of any CHP project are dependent on efficient design, fuel and offset electricity costs, and capital costs.
- The value of these benefits will depend on the needs and goals of the investor.
- A feasibility analysis to determine the technical and economic viability of a project is typically performed in stages in order to minimize costs and expenses from nonviable projects.
- Economic analyses have led to substantial new CHP deployment in areas with electricity prices exceeding $0.07/kWh. However, many other fuel types, system configurations, and deal structures can overcome seemingly marginal economics if there is a strong technical fit and high efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHP Cost to Generate Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Assumptions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP Electric Efficiency (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP Power to Heat Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaced Thermal Efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Utilization (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental CHP O&amp;M Costs ($/kWh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP Fuel Cost ($/MMBtu)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaced Thermal Fuel Cost ($/kWh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Cost to Generate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP Fuel Costs ($/kWh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Credit ($/kWh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental O&amp;M ($/kWh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Costs to Generate Power ($/kWh)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Cost</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installed CHP System Cost ($/kW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annualized Cost Factor (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Charge ($/kWh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Costs to Generate Power ($/kWh)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://www.epa.gov/chp/basic/economics.html
Economic Benefits

- **Reduced energy costs:**
  - The high efficiency of CHP technology can result in energy savings when compared to conventional, separately purchased power and onsite thermal energy systems. To determine if CHP is likely to offer a compelling return on investment at a particular site, the costs of the CHP system (capital, fuel, and maintenance) should be compared to the costs of purchased power and thermal energy (hot water, steam, or chilled water) that would otherwise be needed for the site.

- **Offset capital costs:**
  - CHP can be installed in place of boilers or chillers in new construction projects, or when major heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) equipment needs to be replaced or updated.

- **Protection of revenue streams:**
  - Through onsite generation and improved reliability, CHP can allow businesses and critical infrastructure to remain online in the event of a disaster or major power outage. Determining the economic value of CHP as backup power is explored in the white paper: *Calculating Reliability Benefits* (www.epa.gov/chp/basic/benefits.html).

- **Hedge against volatile energy prices:**
  - CHP can provide a hedge against unstable energy prices by allowing the end user to supply its own power during times when prices for electricity are very high. In addition, a CHP system can be configured to accept a variety of feedstocks (e.g., natural gas, biogas, coal, biomass) for fuel; therefore, a facility could build in fuel switching capabilities to hedge against high fuel prices.
The Tax Incentives Assistance Project (TIAP) is sponsored by a coalition of public interest nonprofit groups, government agencies, and other organizations in the energy efficiency field. It is designed to give consumers and businesses information they need to make use of the federal income tax incentives for energy efficient products and technologies passed by Congress as part of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 and subsequently amended several times.

TIAP activities include the following:

- Providing through this web site, information to consumers, businesses, and energy-efficiency firms.
- Working with the Departments of Treasury, Department of Energy and other agencies on rules to implement the tax incentives.
- Providing information, presentations and technical assistance to state and utility program implementers who want to use the federal tax incentives to complement their local programs.
- Networking with professional associations, trade associations and firms that provide products and services eligible for the tax incentives.
What is the tax incentive for Combined Heat and Power (CHP) property?
- A 10% investment tax credit for CHP property, applicable to only the first 15 MW of CHP property.

Who is eligible for the incentives?
- Owners of systems smaller than 50 MW may take advantage of this tax credit, and their systems must be placed into service between October 3, 2008 and January 1, 2017. Only the original constructor or user of the CHP property may take the tax credit.

What are the incentives and how do they work?
- The incentive is an investment tax credit, a reduction in either overall individual or overall business tax liabilities. The incentive can also be applied to the alternative minimum tax. CHP system owners/users cannot take the credit until the year that the system is operational.

What do I have to do to qualify for the incentives?
- To qualify, a CHP system must be 60 percent efficient (on a lower heating value basis), produce at least 20% of its useful energy as electricity and at least another 20% as useful thermal energy.

Resource for qualifying technologies and designs?
- The Environmental Protection Agency’s CHP Partnership
What are the tax incentives for fuel cells and microturbines?

These incentives are tax credits for two advanced distributed generation technologies: qualifying fuel cell and microturbine systems. Fuel cells generate electricity through a chemical process. They are somewhat similar to batteries, except fuel must be fed continuously to them. Microturbines are small power generation systems using a gas turbine engine, based on related turbines used in transportation. The credits are available for systems "placed in service" in prior to December 31, 2016.

Who is eligible for the tax incentives?

The credits are primarily for business use of this equipment, although individuals are eligible for the fuel cell tax incentive. Recent legislation extends the incentive to all utilities and telecommunications firms. This credit is permissible against the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT).

What are the incentives and how do they work?

For fuel cells:
- Credits are for 30% of the cost, up to $3000 per kW of power that can be produced.
- To qualify systems must have an efficiency of at least 30% and must have a capacity of at least 0.5 kW.

For microturbines:
- Credits are for 10% of the cost, up to $200 per kW of power that can be produced.
- To qualify, systems must have an efficiency of at least 26% and must have a capacity of less than 2,000 kW.

What do I have to do to qualify for these incentives?

To qualify, taxpayers will probably need to have evidence regarding:
- The cost of the system (this includes the power generation system itself and "associated balance of plant components, including, in the case of microturbines, "secondary components located between the existing infrastructure for fuel delivery and the existing infrastructure for power distribution"), *
- The capacity of the system,
- The efficiency of the system, and
- When it was placed in service.
Database of Information

- dCHPP – CHP Policies and Incentives Database (d-chip)
  - Online database that allows users to search for policies and incentives by state or at the federal level
  - Policy makers and policy advocates can find useful information on significant state/federal policies and financial incentives affecting CHP.
  - CHP project developers and others can easily find information about financial incentives and state/federal policies that influence project development.
- Established thru EPA’s Combined Heat and Power Partnership
- Nothing currently exists for West Virginia

http://www.epa.gov/chp/policies/database.html
DOE CHP TAP Contact Information

James Freihaut, Director
Mid-Atlantic CHP TAP Director
West Virginia
104 ENGINEERING UNIT A
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802
TEL: 814.863.0083
jdf11@psu.edu

Gearold Foley
New Jersey
68 BAYBERRY ROAD
PRINCETON, NJ 08540
TEL: 609.466.2200
guf4@psu.edu

Richard Sweetser
Virginia, DC & Maryland
12020 MEADOWVILLE COURT
HERNDON, VIRGINIA 20170
TEL: 703.707.0293
rss27@psu.edu

Bill Valentine
Pennsylvania & Delaware
THE PHILADELPHIA NAVY YARD
4801 SOUTH BROAD STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19112
TEL: 215.353.3319
wjv3@psu.edu
Thank You
Questions and Comments?